

## Theorem 7.

$SO(\tau)$  is incomplete for each vocabulary  $\tau$ , i.e., there ex. no set of derivation rules which is correct and complete.

Proof: Suppose there is a set of derivation rules such that  $\vdash$  is defined which is correct, i.e.,  $\Phi^{\vdash} \subseteq \Phi^{\vdash}$ , and complete, i.e.,  $\Phi^{\vdash} \subseteq \Phi^{\vdash}$ .

Thus,  $\Phi^{\vdash} = \Phi^{\vdash}$  for all sets  $\Phi \subseteq SO(\tau)$ .

However, applying a derivation rules connects a finite number of formulas to obtain a new formula. Thus, compactness theorem always holds for  $\vdash$ . Since  $\Phi^{\vdash} = \Phi^{\vdash}$ , by assumption, the compactness theorem holds for  $\vdash$ .  $\downarrow$

## 4. Finite model theory

want to prove that  $(\text{Caa})^{\vdash}$  is not FO-definable

### 4.1 Predicate logic on words

Words as structures:

- $\Sigma$  finite, non-empty alphabet
- vocabulary  $\Sigma_2 = \{ \langle \cdot \cdot \rangle \} \cup \{ P_a \mid a \in \Sigma \}$  where
  - $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$  is a binary relation symbol
  - $P_a$  is a unary relation symbol

- word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is identified with  $\tau_\Sigma$ -structure  $\alpha_w = (A_w, \alpha_w)$ :

$A_w =_{\text{act}} \{1, 2, \dots, |w|\}$  positions in  $w$

$\alpha_w(<) =_{\text{act}} \{(p, q) \mid p < q\}$  linear ordering of pos.

$\alpha_w(P_a) =_{\text{act}} \{p \mid w_p = a\}$

### 4.1.1 First-order logic and star-free languages

Let  $\varphi$  be an  $\text{FO}(\tau_\Sigma)$ -sentence, i.e.,  $\varphi \in \text{FO}_0(\tau_\Sigma)$ .  
Then,

$$L(\varphi) =_{\text{act}} \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\alpha_w} = 1\}$$

is the language defined by  $\varphi$ .

Extend  $L(\varphi)$  to arbitrary  $\text{FO}(\tau_\Sigma)$ -formulas:

- let  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  be all variables occurring in  $\varphi$ ; w.l.o.g. bound variables are pairwise distinct and distinct to free variables
- let  $(w, \bar{p}) = (w, (p_1, \dots, p_k))$  denote  $\tau_\Sigma$ -interpretation  $(\alpha_w, \beta)$  where  $\beta(x_i) = p_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$
- semantics of  $\varphi$  given  $(w, (p_1, \dots, p_k)) = (\alpha_w, \beta)$ : it is enough to specify for atomic formulas:

$$\llbracket x_i = x_j \rrbracket^{(w, \bar{p})} = 1 \iff_{\text{act}} p_i = p_j$$

$$\llbracket x_i < x_j \rrbracket^{(w, \bar{p})} = 1 \iff_{\text{act}} p_i < p_j$$

$$\llbracket P_a(x_i) \rrbracket^{(w, \bar{p})} = 1 \iff_{\text{act}} w_{p_i} = a$$



Example:  $\varphi = \exists x P_a(x)$ , i.e.,  $\text{free}(\varphi) = \emptyset$ , & single bound var.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{(w, p)} &= \max_{I' \models (w, p)} \llbracket P_a(x) \rrbracket^{I'} \\ &= \max_{p \in \{1, \dots, |w|\}} \llbracket P_a(x) \rrbracket^{(w, p)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if letter } a \text{ occurs in } w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $L(\varphi) = \Sigma^* a \Sigma^*$

Goal:  $\varphi \in \text{FO}(\tau_E) \rightarrow L(\varphi)$  regular

Need to encode assignments  $\beta: \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |w|\}$ :

- let  $V$  be a set of variables
- $\Sigma_V =_{\text{def}} \Sigma \times \{0, 1\}^V$  finite alphabet,  $\{0, 1\}^V = \{c \mid c: V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}$
- encode  $(w, \beta)$  as

$$\overline{(w, \beta)} =_{\text{def}} (w_1, c_1) (w_2, c_2) \dots (w_n, c_n) \text{ where } c_i(x_j) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta(x_j) = i$$

e.g.)  $\overline{(abaa, (1, 2, 1, 3))} = \underline{a 1010} \underline{b 0100} \underline{a 0001} \underline{a 0000}$   
 $\overline{(abc, (2, 2, 2, 3, 3))} = \underline{a 00000} \underline{b 11100} \underline{c 00011}$

- note: not all  $v \in \Sigma_V^*$  correspond to  $(w, \beta)$ ,  $\beta$  assignment  
e.g.  $a1b1$
- define  $N_V \subseteq \Sigma_V^* : \overline{(w, \beta)} \in N_V \Leftrightarrow_{\text{def}} w \in \Sigma^*, \beta: V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |w|\}$
- $N_V$  is regular:  $\Sigma_V^{x=1} =_{\text{def}} \{(a, c) \mid c(x) = 1\}$ ,  $\Sigma_V^{x=0} =_{\text{def}} \{(a, c) \mid c(x) = 0\}$   
e.g.  $\Sigma_V^{y=1} = \{(b, c) \mid c(y) = 1\}$  but  $\Sigma_V^{x=1} \cup \Sigma_V^{x=0} = \Sigma_V$

Then,

$$N_V = \bigcap_{x \in V} (\Sigma_V^{x=0})^* \cdot \Sigma_V^{x=1} \cdot (\Sigma_V^{x=0})^*$$

• language  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_V$  of  $\varphi$  and  $V$  containing a free var. of  $\varphi$ :

- atomic formulas:

$$\llbracket x=y \rrbracket_V =_{\text{act}} \{ \overline{(w, \beta)} \in N_V \mid \beta(x) = \beta(y) \}$$

$$\llbracket x < y \rrbracket_V =_{\text{act}} \{ \overline{(w, \beta)} \in N_V \mid \beta(x) < \beta(y) \}$$

$$\llbracket P_a(x) \rrbracket_V =_{\text{act}} \{ \overline{(w, \beta)} \in N_V \mid w_{\beta(x)} = a \}$$

- composite formulas:

$$\llbracket \varphi \vee \psi \rrbracket_V =_{\text{act}} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_V \cup \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_V$$

$$\llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket_V = N_V \setminus \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_V$$

$$\llbracket \exists x \varphi \rrbracket_V =_{\text{act}} \{ \overline{(w, \beta)} \in N_V \mid \text{there ex. } i \in \{1, \dots, |w|\} \text{ s.t. } \overline{(w, \beta[x \rightarrow i])} \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{V \cup \{x\}} \}$$

Proposition 3.

Let  $\varphi \in \text{FO}(\Sigma_E)$ , let  $V$  be the set of <sup>free</sup> variables of  $\varphi$ . Then,  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_V$  is regular.

Proof: (Induction)

• base case: we obtain for atomic formulas:

$$\llbracket x=y \rrbracket_V = N_V \cap \Sigma_V^* \cdot (\Sigma_V^{x=1} \cap \Sigma_V^{y=1}) \cdot \Sigma_V^*$$

$$\llbracket x < y \rrbracket_V = N_V \cap \Sigma_V^* \cdot \Sigma_V^{x=1} \cdot \Sigma_V^* \cdot \Sigma_V^{y=1} \cdot \Sigma_V^*$$



$$\mathbb{L}P_a(x) \mathbb{J}_V = N_V \cap \Sigma_V^* \cdot \{a, \epsilon\} \cdot \Sigma_V^*$$

• inductive step:

$\varphi = \psi \vee \eta$ : suppose  $\mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_V, \mathbb{L}\eta \mathbb{J}_V$  regular,

then  $\mathbb{L}\varphi \mathbb{J}_V = \mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_V \cup \mathbb{L}\eta \mathbb{J}_V$  regular

$\varphi = \neg \psi$ : suppose  $\mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_V$  is regular; then,

$\mathbb{L}\varphi \mathbb{J}_V = N_V \setminus \mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_V = N_V \cap \overline{\mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_V}$  regular

$\varphi = \exists x \psi$ : suppose  $\mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_{V \cup \{x\}}$  is regular

$$\mathbb{L}\varphi \mathbb{J}_{V \cup \{x\}} = \sum_{V \cup \{x\}}^* \cdot \sum_{V \cup \{x\}}^{x=1} \cdot \sum_{V \cup \{x\}}^* \cap \mathbb{L}\psi \mathbb{J}_{V \cup \{x\}}$$

regular

It follows that  $\mathbb{L}\varphi \mathbb{J}_V$  is regular since reg. languages are closed under projections

### Corollary 4.

If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{FO}_0(\tau_E)$  then  $L(\varphi)$  is regular.

### Definition 5.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet.

The class  $SF(\Sigma)$  of **star-free** languages is defined as follows:

(1.) base case:

$\{a\}$  is star-free for all  $a \in \Sigma$

$\Sigma^*$  is star-free

(2.) inductive step: if  $A, B$  are star-free then

$A \cup B$  is star-free

$A \cdot B$  is star-free

$\Sigma^* \setminus A = \bar{A}$  is star-free

Remarks:

- in star-free languages, iteration ( $*$ ) is replaced w. ( $^-$ )
- $A \cap B = \overline{\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}}$  is star-free if  $A, B$  are star-free

Examples:

(1)  $\emptyset = \Sigma^* \setminus \Sigma^*$  is star-free

(2)  $\Delta \subseteq \Sigma$ :  $\Delta^*$  is star-free

$$\Delta^* = \Sigma^* \setminus (\Sigma^* \cdot (\Sigma \setminus \Delta) \cdot \Sigma^*) = \overline{\Sigma^* \cdot (\Sigma \setminus \Delta) \cdot \Sigma^*}$$

(3)  $(ab)^*$  is star-free ( $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ ); since

$$(ab)^* = \Sigma^* \setminus (\Sigma^* a a \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^* b b \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^* a \cup \Sigma^* b)$$

(4)  $(aa)^*$  is not star-free

Theorem 6. (Schützenberger 1965)

Let  $L \in SF(\Sigma)$  be a star-free language. Then,

for all  $x, z, z' \in \Sigma^*$  there ex.  $n_0$  s.t. for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$z x^n z' \in L \Leftrightarrow z x^{n+1} z' \in L$$

Theorem 7. (McNaughton - Papert 1971; Pt. 1)

If  $\varphi \in FO_0(\Sigma)$  then  $L(\varphi)$  is star-free



Proof: (sketch) Reconstruct the proof of Prop. 3 using star-free languages:

- $N_V$  is star-free
- $\llbracket x=y \rrbracket_V$  is star-free
- $\llbracket xcy \rrbracket_V$  is star-free
- $\llbracket P_a(x) \rrbracket_V$  is star-free
- $\llbracket \psi \vee \eta \rrbracket_V = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_V \cup \llbracket \eta \rrbracket_V$  star-free
- $\llbracket \neg \psi \rrbracket_V = N_V \setminus \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_V = N_V \cap \overline{\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_V}$  is star-free
- $\llbracket \exists x \psi \rrbracket_V$  is star-free:  $V' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V \cup \{x\}$

$$\llbracket \exists x \psi \rrbracket_V = \sum_{v_1}^* \cdot \sum_{v_1}^{x+1} \cdot \sum_{v_1}^{*+} \cap N_{V'} \cap \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{V'}$$

is star-free (by i.a.)

special proj. (bijective renaming)  $V' \rightarrow V$   
maintains star-freeness of  $\llbracket \exists x \psi \rrbracket_V$  (proof om.)